National and locally defined metrics

Bury Selected Health and Well Being Board: Non-Elective Admissions Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? No improvement in performance There has been a steady increase in non-elective admissions for Bury patients throughout 16/17 however this has reduced in Q4. However, this reduction has not met target and the year end position is higher compared to last year. Work is underway to investigate the complex reasons behind this increase. Our Commentary on progress: supporting performance monitoring shows a slight increase in readmission within 30 days and 90 days Delayed Transfers of Care Delayed Transfers of Care (delayed days) from hospital per 100,000 population (aged 18+) Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? No improvement in performance Despite promising progress at the beginning of the year, we have not achieved target at year end. There were 5980 delayed days in 16/17 compared with 5680 in 15/16 an increase of 5%. 362 days were attributable to social care and 382 days attributable to the NHS. 11 days were attributable to both. Commentary on progress: There may be some correlation with the increase in delayed transfers of care with the increase in non-Crude rate emergency hospital admissions for injuries due to falls per 100,000 population aged 65+ Local performance metric as described in your approved BCF plan

On track to meet target

decrease in falls over the past 2 quarters.

We have been on target for this measure through the year and have achieved target at year end with a

Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?

Commentary on progress:

	Were you involved as much as you wanted to be in decision about your care and support/treatment?
ocal defined patient experience metric as described in your approved BCF plan	
f no local defined patient experience metric has been specified, please give details of the	he he
ocal defined patient experience metric now being used.	
lease provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track to meet target
	This survey measure tends to be static and fluctuates with releases of 3 different patient surveys
	throughout the year. The GP survey is now being published annually in July which when released will
	enable us to calculate the overall average for this measure. We anticpate that it will go up as there has
Commentary on progress:	enable us to calculate the overall average for this measure. We anticpate that it will go up as there has also been positive feedback from the recent carers survey. Unfortunately due to timing this will not
Commentary on progress:	
dmissions to residential care	also been positive feedback from the recent carers survey. Unfortunately due to timing this will not
dmissions to residential care	also been positive feedback from the recent carers survey. Unfortunately due to timing this will not Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+) No improvement in performance
admissions to residential care	also been positive feedback from the recent carers survey. Unfortunately due to timing this will not Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+) No improvement in performance
Admissions to residential care Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+) No improvement in performance There has been an increase in residential admissions this year which after investigation seems to be due
admissions to residential care	Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+) No improvement in performance There has been an increase in residential admissions this year which after investigation seems to be due to the inclusion of full cost clients in the measure definition (clients who pay for their own care but have

	Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track to meet target
	We have achieved target for this measure at year end.
Commentary on progress:	

Footnotes:

For the local performance metric (which is pre-populated), the data is from submission 4 planning returns previously submitted by the HWB.

For the local defined patient experience metric (which is pre-populated), the data is from submission 4 planning returns previously submitted by the HWB, except in cases where HWBs provided a definition of the metric for the first time within the Q1 16-17 template.