

# UPDATE FOLLOWING PUBLICATION OF NPPF (December 2023)

## ITEM 1 – PILSWORTH QUARRY

On page 15 under **Principle of Development/** Green Belt section;

- Paragraph 147 of NPPF (September 2023) has been replaced by paragraph 152. The wording of the policy has not changed; and,
- Paragraph 149 has been replaced by paragraph 158. The wording of the policy has not changed.

On Page 18 under the **biodiversity Section/** Contributing to the Natural Environment:

- Paragraph 174 has been replaced by paragraph 180. The wording of the paragraph has not changed.

On Page 20

- Under **Utilities, Drainage and Flood Risk**, Paragraph 167 has been replaced by paragraph 165. The wording of the policy has not changed.
- **Correction:** under the **Crime and Disorder** section, the NPPF paragraphs referred to are incorrect and related to the numbering within an earlier version of the NPPF and at the time of writing the report and should have referred to paragraphs 92 and 130 of the NPPF (September 2023). These have been replaced by paragraphs 66 and 135 respectively.

## GM JOINT WASTE & MINERALS PLAN 2012-27

### **Context for the Waste Plan 4**

Greater Manchester has the largest population of any sub-region within the North West and is the largest producer of waste for all streams, including, municipal waste, commercial and industrial, construction and demolition and hazardous waste. Traditionally Greater Manchester has relied upon landfill to dispose of wastes which have largely been exported out of the conurbation. As a result of European legislation and Government targets a range of new waste management facilities will be required for recycling, composting, treatment and recovery. Sufficient landfill capacity will also be required for final residues following treatment and recovery.

### **Places near to existing waste management facilities**

1.35 Economic growth may lead to competing interests on available land for development in Greater Manchester. This can impact on what land will be available for new waste development and also on existing waste management facilities. Clustering facilities together, for example, identifying sites close to existing, suitably located waste management facilities and existing waste producers, will ensure that future waste development is directed towards areas that are already considered acceptable.

1.36 In addition, Planning Policy Statement 10 requires Waste Planning Authorities to look for opportunities to co-locate facilities together and with complementary activities. The benefits of this option include the potential to minimise the environmental impacts of new waste development through providing facilities close to existing operations where compatible waste uses can be developed. This option also enables advantage to be taken of 'economies of scale', for example utilising shared infrastructure for existing networks (e.g., the rail and highway network) and where a workforce with the requisite skills already exists.

1.38 Understanding the potential impacts of waste management facilities (e.g., dust, noise, etc.) will enable new waste management development to be directed towards places where

any impacts can be mitigated to an acceptable level. This will ensure that communities are protected whilst still enabling the development of required waste management facilities.

## **ITEM 2 – MILLWOOD SCHOOL**

On page 38, paragraph 174 of the NPPF would be replaced by paragraph 180. The wording of the paragraph has not changed.

## **ITEM 3 – 18 – 24 BRADLEY FOLD ROAD**

On page 57, the report references paragraphs 147 and 148 of the NPPF. These are replaced by paragraphs 152 and 153. The wording of the paragraph has not changed.

On page 58, the report references paragraph 147 of the NPPF, which relates to the construction of new buildings in the Green Belt. This is replaced by paragraph 154 and the wording of the paragraph has not changed.

Page 60 refers to paragraphs 189 – 202 in relation to heritage. These are replaced by 195 – 207. The wording of the paragraphs has not changed.

On page 61, paragraph 174 of the NPPF would be replaced by paragraph 180. The wording of the paragraph has not changed.