

## **Equality Impact Analysis**

This equality impact analysis establishes the likely effects both positive and negative and potential unintended consequences that decisions, policies, projects and practices can have on people at risk of discrimination, harassment and victimisation. The analysis considers documentary evidence, data and information from stakeholder engagement/consultation to manage risk and to understand the actual or potential effect of activity, including both positive and adverse impacts, on those affected by the activity being considered.

To support completion of this analysis tool, please refer to the equality impact analysis guidance.

#### **Section 1 – Analysis Details** (Page 5 of the guidance document)

Name of Policy/Project/Decision	Fishpool and Pimhole Bee Active Travel Scheme
Lead Officer (SRO or Assistant Director/Director)	Neil Long
Department/Team	Operations
Proposed Implementation Date	11 June 2025
Author of the EqIA	Mark Mykolajowski
Date of the EqIA	11 February 2025

## 1.1 What is the main purpose of the proposed policy/project/decision and intended outcomes?

The Fishpool and Pimhole Active Neighbourhood schemes are being delivered to improve walking and cycling infrastructure in Bury, enhancing accessibility, safety, and connectivity for all residents. These schemes are designed to encourage active travel by creating safer, more direct, and accessible routes for pedestrians and cyclists, particularly for journeys to schools, workplaces, and local amenities

The intended outcomes of these schemes include:

Improved Safety for All Road Users – The introduction of new and improved pedestrian crossings, traffic-calming
measures, and segregated cycleways will reduce the risk of road traffic collisions and make active travel safer for
residents, including children, older people, and those with disabilities.



- 2. Increased Accessibility and Connectivity The schemes will bridge severance issues caused by major roads, ensuring that key destinations such as schools, healthcare facilities, local shops, and public transport links are more easily accessible by walking and cycling.
- 3. Reduced Transport Inequalities By improving safe, free-to-use travel options, the schemes will reduce dependence on private vehicles, benefiting lower-income households who may not own a car and rely on public or active transport.
- 4. Encouraging Active Travel and Public Health Benefits Creating safe and attractive walking and cycling routes will encourage more residents to choose active travel for short journeys, contributing to better physical and mental health by increasing physical activity levels.
- 5. Environmental Benefits and Support for Bury's Carbon Neutrality Target By providing high-quality alternatives to car travel, the schemes will help reduce congestion, lower carbon emissions, and improve air quality, aligning with Bury's commitment to achieving carbon neutrality by 2038.
- 6. Supporting the Local Economy Improved connectivity to employment hubs such as Pilsworth Industrial Estate and local town centres will make it easier for people to access job opportunities, education, and services, supporting economic inclusion.
- 7. Alignment with the Bee Active Network and Greater Manchester Transport Strategy These schemes are part of the Greater Manchester Bee Active Network, ensuring that walking and cycling routes are joined-up and integrated into the wider regional transport system to create a seamless network of safe, accessible routes.

This decision is part of a long-term strategy to create inclusive, sustainable, and people-friendly urban environments, ensuring that walking and cycling are viable, safe, and attractive choices for all residents, regardless of age, ability, or background.



### Section 2 – Impact Assessment (Pages 6 to 10 of the guidance document)

## 2.1 Who could the proposed policy/project/decision likely have an impact on?

Employees: No

The schemes are focused on improving walking and cycling infrastructure for the public rather than impacting internal Council operations or staff. While some Council officers are involved in project delivery and management, the schemes will not directly affect employees' roles, working conditions, or employment status.

Community/Residents: Yes

The schemes will have a positive impact on residents by improving accessibility, safety, and connectivity for people walking, wheeling and cycling.

Key community groups that will benefit include:

- Children and young people Safer walking and cycling routes to schools and recreational areas.
- Older adults More accessible, well-lit footways and improved road crossings.
- Disabled residents Enhanced infrastructure with inclusive design features such as level surfaces, tactile paving, and dropped kerbs.
- Low-income households Free, safe, and reliable transport options for those who may not own a car.
- All residents Improved air quality, reduced congestion, and safer neighbourhoods due to reduced traffic speeds.

Third parties such as suppliers, providers and voluntary organisations: Yes

The schemes will affect and benefit several third parties, including:

- Contractors and suppliers The direct award to George Cox & Sons Ltd will create opportunities for subcontractors, local suppliers, and construction firms involved in delivery.
- Public transport operators Improved walking and cycling routes may encourage more multi-modal journeys, benefiting bus and tram services.



- Schools and local businesses Safer and more convenient active travel routes may increase footfall and accessibility to shops, workplaces, and community services.
- Voluntary and community groups Organisations promoting active travel, disability access, and environmental sustainability may benefit from improved infrastructure.

# 2.2 Evidence to support the analysis. Include documentary evidence, data and stakeholder information/consultation Documentary Evidence:

The proposed schemes are supported by a range of strategic documents, funding agreements, and local and national policies, including:

- Fishpool Active Neighbourhood Phase 1 & Phase 2 Full Business Cases (FBCs) These documents outline the need for the schemes, expected benefits, and alignment with active travel policies.
- Pimhole Active Neighbourhood Full Business Case (FBC) Details the justification, expected outcomes, and design of the scheme.
- Mayor's Cycling and Walking Challenge Fund (MCF) Delivery Agreements Confirms the funding source and the contractual obligations of the schemes.
- Greater Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 Supports the shift towards walking and cycling to create a cleaner, safer, and more accessible transport network.
- Bury Council's Let's Do It Strategy Aligns with priorities for stronger local neighbourhoods, economic inclusion, sustainability, and improved health outcomes.
- Government's Gear Change: A Bold Vision for Cycling and Walking (DfT, 2020) National policy framework promoting active travel.



#### Data:

The schemes are underpinned by data-driven insights that demonstrate the need for improved walking and cycling infrastructure:

Traffic and Collision Data:

Analysis of road safety data identified key locations with high pedestrian and cyclist risk, justifying the introduction of new crossings and traffic-calming measures.

Reduced severance was identified as a key requirement due to busy roads such as Rochdale Road and Bell Lane acting as barriers to active travel.

Transport and Travel Surveys:

TfGM surveys have highlighted an increasing demand for safe and accessible active travel routes across Bury.

Localised data on active travel trends showed that improved infrastructure significantly increases walking and cycling uptake.

• Public Health and Air Quality Data:

Data from Public Health England and Bury Council's air quality monitoring indicate high levels of vehicle-related emissions, with active travel infrastructure identified as a key measure to encourage sustainable transport and improve air quality.

Research has linked increased active travel with reduced obesity levels and improved mental well-being.



#### Stakeholder information/consultation:

Extensive consultation has been undertaken to inform the design and delivery of the schemes, ensuring they meet the needs of the community:

Public Consultation Events and Online Surveys:

Engagement activities were held with residents, businesses, and community groups to gather feedback on walking and cycling infrastructure needs.

Responses highlighted concerns about safety, accessibility, and the need for improved pedestrian and cycle crossings.

Engagement with Schools and Education Providers:

Engagement with the community and local schools identified barriers preventing children from walking and cycling to school, influencing the design of safer crossings and school-friendly routes.

Consultation with Disability and Accessibility Groups:

Design guidance and standards helped ensure that the schemes incorporate inclusive design elements, such as tactile paving, dropped kerbs, and step-free access.

• Collaboration with Transport for Greater Manchester (TfGM):

TfGM has provided input to ensure the schemes integrate with the wider Greater Manchester Bee Active Network and complement public transport accessibility.



• Engagement with Local Businesses and Community Organisations:

Local businesses were consulted to ensure that improved pedestrian and cycle access would support economic activity and customer footfall without negatively impacting operations.

# 2.3 Consider the following questions in terms of who the policy/project/decision could potentially have an impact on. Detail these in the impact assessment table (2.4) and the potential impact this could have.

- Could the proposal prevent the promotion of equality of opportunity or good relations between different equality groups?
- Could the proposal create barriers to accessing a service or obtaining employment because of a protected characteristic?
- Could the proposal affect the usage or experience of a service because of a protected characteristic?
- Could a protected characteristic be disproportionately advantaged or disadvantaged by the proposal?
- Could the proposal make it more or less likely that a protected characteristic will be at risk of harassment or victimisation?
- Could the proposal affect public attitudes towards a protected characteristic (e.g. by increasing or reducing their presence in the community)?
- Could the proposal prevent or limit a protected characteristic contributing to the democratic running of the council?

2.4 Characteristic	Potential Impacts	Evidence (from 2.2) to demonstrate this impact	Mitigations to reduce negative impact	Impact level with mitigations Positive, Neutral, Negative
Age	Safer walking and cycling routes benefit residents of all ages.	Design considers accessibility principles that benefit all age groups.	Ensure clear signage, well-lit footways and user friendly infrastructure	Positive
Disability	The scheme would provide improved accessibility with step-free paths,	Inclusive design principles embedded in scheme layout; public consultation and feedback highlighting access as a concern.	Temporary routes will be step-free where possible. Advance notice will be provided via signage and community updates.	Positive



				Council
	tactile paving,		Access to key services	
	and safe		will be maintained at all	
	crossings.		times, with alternative	
	However, during		arrangements where	
	construction,		necessary	
	there may be		,	
	temporary			
	disruption to			
	access to local			
	conveniences			
	and services—			
	such as shops,			
	bus stops,			
	healthcare			
	facilities, and			
	schools—which			
	could			
	disproportionately			
	affect people with			
	restricted			
	mobility.			
Gender Reassignment	No direct impact	N/A	N/A	Neutral
	identified			
Marriage and Civil	No direct impact	N/A	N/A	Neutral
Partnership	identified			
Pregnancy and	Safer walking	Public consultation	Ensure wide and smooth	Positive
Maternity	and cycling	feedback.	footways.	
	routes provide			
	more travel			
	options for			



		T .	1	Council
	parents with			
	prams.			
Race	No	N/A	N/A	Neutral
	disproportionate			
	impact identified.			
Religion and Belief	Potential for	Local area knowledge and	Identify places of	Neutral
	temporary	scheme footprint	worship in proximity to	
	disruption to		the works. Engage with	
	access to places		representatives in	
	of worship during		advance. Maintain	
	works		access at all times, with	
			clear signage and	
			temporary routes if	
			required—especially	
			during key religious	
		21/2	services.	
Sex	No direct impact	N/A	N/A	Neutral
0	identified	21/2	21/2	
Sexual Orientation	No direct impact	N/A	N/A	Neutral
0	identified	5		B
Carers	Improved	Design considers	Incorporate wide	Positive
	pedestrian routes	accessibility principles that	footways, level surfaces,	
	support carers	benefit carers assisting	and dropped kerbs.	
	assisting	individuals with mobility	During construction,	
	individuals with	impairments.	ensure safe, accessible	
	mobility		temporary routes and	
	impairments.		provide clear notice of	
Looked After Children	No direct impost	N/A	changes.	Neutral
and Care Leavers	No direct impact identified	IN/A	N/A	ineuliai
and Cale Leavers	ideililled			



Socio-economically	Free-to-use	The scheme aims to	Ensure routes connect to	Positive
vulnerable	active travel infrastructure reduces reliance on expensive transport.	provide cost-free transport options, reducing reliance on private vehicles.	essential services and employment hubs	
Veterans	No direct impact identified	N/A	N/A	Neutral

# Actions required to mitigate/reduce/eliminate negative impacts or to complete the analysis

2.5 Characteristics	Action	Action Owner	<b>Completion Date</b>

# Section 3 - Impact Risk

Establish the level of risk to people and organisations arising from identified impacts, with additional actions completed to mitigate/reduce/eliminate negative impacts.

3.1 Identifying risk level (Pages 10 - 12 of the guidance document)

	Likelihood			
Impact x Likelihood = Score	1	2	3	4
	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Very likely



	4	Very High	4	8	12	16
	3	High	3	6	O	12
Impact	2	Medium	2	4	6	8
=	1	Low	1	2	3	4
	0	Positive / No impact	0	0	0	0

Risk Level	No Risk = 0	Low Risk = 1 - 4	Medium Risk = 5 – 7	High Risk = 8 - 16
2.2.1 aval of rick identified		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
3.2 Level of risk identified	0			
3.3 Reasons for risk level			and more pleasant for all	residents to walk,
calculation	wheel and cycle around F	Fishpool and Pimhole.		

Section 4 - Analysis Decision (Page 11 of the guidance document)

4.1 Analysis Decision	X	Reasons for This Decision
There is no negative impact therefore the activity will proceed	Х	
There are low impacts or risks identified which can be mitigated or		
managed to reduce the risks and activity will proceed		
There are medium to high risks identified which cannot be mitigated		
following careful and thorough consideration. The activity will proceed		
with caution and this risk recorded on the risk register, ensuring		
continual review		



# Section 5 – Sign Off and Revisions (Page 11 of the guidance document)

5.1 Sign Off	Name	Date	Comments
Lead Officer/SRO/Project Manager	Mark Mykolajowski	24/02/2025	
Responsible Asst. Director/Director			
EDI	Lee Cawley	30/07/2025	QA Complete. Impacts have been identified for some characteristics and circumstances during works on this activity and the intended outcomes. Mitigations have been considered to neutralise potential negative impacts during works with all impacts being positive post works.

# **EqIA Revision Log**

<b>5.2 Revision Date</b>	Revision By	Revision Details